# Effects of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Growth and Yield of Baby Corn (*Zea mays* Linn.) in Skeletal Soils Under Non-Sterilized Soil

Chatchawal Khundaram and Nidchaporn Nabhadalung\* Faculty of Agriculture Buriram Rajabhat University, Mueang District, Buriram Province 31000 e-mail: <u>nidchaporn@gmail.com</u> Tel : 081-4944563

#### ABSTRACT

Effects of Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) on baby corn growth was studied to observe how AMF promoting baby corn. The experiment was set at Faculty of Agriculture Technology, Buriram Rajabhat University. The CRD was designed for this experiment with 5 treatments namely 1) no AMF inoculation 2) inoculated with Glomus sp 2 3) inoculated with Glomus sp 3 4) inoculated with Glomus mosseae and 5) recommended rate of chemical fertilizer using 15-15-15 and 46-0-0. ANOVA and mean comparison using DMRT was analyzed for height, number of leaf, yield, fresh weight and dry weight using DMRT SPSS v 11. AMF colonization was also examined in baby corn root after harvesting. AMF application showed no significantly (p>0.05) increase of baby corn height, number of leaf, fresh weight and dry weight while chemical fertilizer application showed highly significant (p<0.01) increase growth of baby corn. *Glomus mosseae* showed trend to increase baby corn growth more than *Glomus* sp 3 and *Glomus* sp 2 while *Glomus* sp 2 showed trend to increase baby corn yield more than other. However all of AMF, Glomus sp 2, and Glomus sp 3, showed low colonization (1-5%) in baby corn root under skeletal soil natural condition. The result showed that AMF should not be used as biofertilizer to promote baby corn growth in skeletal soil under natural condition.

Keywords : AMF, Maize, Baby corn, Skeleton soils

## **INTRODUCTION**

Baby corn is a cereal grain taken from corn (maize) harvested early while the ears are very small and immature. It typically is eaten whole-cob included-in contrast to mature corn, whose cob is considered too tough for human consumption. Baby corn is eaten both raw and cooked. Baby corn is most common in Asian cuisine [1]. Eighty percent of the total land area in Thailand is classified as having soils of low fertility. Either application of inorganic or organic fertilizer is needed to improve crop production in agricultural systems. AMF can colonize maize roots and promote crop yield by developing early in the crop cycle of maize [2] and showed colonization about 60-80% [3]. Also, the AMF have other potential benefits for example, AMF assisted maize to sustain moderate drought stress and to recover rapidly when irrigation was restored [4]. To date, research in Thailand has concentrated on the ultilization of AMF to promote the yield of many crops, such as, strawberry [5], peanut [6] and citrus [7]. AMF have also been used to establish seedlings of forest trees, citrus seedlings [8] and native tree seedlings [9]. The AMF species effects on growth and nutrient uptake of sunflower [10]. Skeletal soils are those having substantial amount of coarse materials present within 50 centimeters from the soil surface [11]. They were considered problem soils in Thailand [12]. AMF promote plant growth and nutrient uptake in soils of low fertility [13] [14]. AMF can influence plant growth traits [15] and improve plant nutrition [16]. The research investigated the effect of AMF on growth and yield of baby corn planting in skeleton soil under non sterilized soil for to examine the efficiency of AMF species in promoting growth of baby corn under skeleton soil with natural condition.

## **METHODS**

The study of effects of Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) on baby corn growth was using CRD with five treatments and four replications namely (1) no inoculation (2) inoculated with Glomus sp.2 (3) inoculated with Glomus sp.3 (4) inoculated with Glomus mosseae (5) recommended rates of 15 - 15 - 15 and 46 - 150-0 fertilizer. Five hundreds kilograms of skeleton soil were taken from a farmer field, air dried and well mixed. Then twenty kilograms of the soil were filled in each pot (30 cm in diameters and 45 cm in height). The commercial baby corn variety was done seeding. The soil inoculum was placed on the surface of the soil in each pot and seeds were then placed on top and covered with 1cm layer of soil. Tap water was added daily to the soil surface to keep the soil at field capacity throughout the cropping period. Plants were thinned to 1 plant per pot at 14 days after planting. Plants were grown in outdoor during December 2009 to March 2010 under natural conditions. At harvesting stage, the plants were cut at the soil surface and the soil was left to dry out in the pot. After air drying, the pot soil was crushed and well mixed by hand. Maize roots were removed from soil as much as possible, and washed by tap water until clean and brought to the laboratory for root colonization by AMF. Data collection were 1) shoot height at 36, 43, 49, 56, 63 and 71 (harvested stage) days after planting 2) number of leaves at 36, 43, 49, 56, 63 and 71 (harvested stage) days

after planting 3) yield of baby corn 4) fresh weight 5) dry weight and 6) % root determined colonization was by the Trouvelet 's method [17]. ANOVA and comparison using DMRT mean was analyzed for height, number of leaf, vield, fresh weight and dry weight using DMRT SPSS v 11.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

There was no effect (p>0.05) of AMF inoculation on baby corn growth and yield compared with no inoculation and fertilizers application (Table 1 – 3). However application of chemical fertilizer 15 - 15 -15 and 46-0-0 recommended rates showed higher growth and yield than AMF inoculation as shown in Table 1-3. In this study Glomus sp.3 showed a tendency of promote growth and yield of baby corn more than Glomus sp.2 and Glomus mosseae. As we know that AMF can help increase the effectiveness of fertilizer P added to soils that are P-deficient or having high P-fixing capacity. For example, in an acidic soil, addition of AMF and rock phosphate (RP) fertilizer together were more effective in enhancing the growth of corn than when RP was added alone [18]. Inoculating soybean with Glomus manihotis significantly increased dry matter yield, and N and P uptake in an acidic Latosol in Indonesia [19]. As well as increased growth, AMF colonization increased pollen quantity and quality of tomato, thereby enhancing male reproductive fitness, probably due to improved P acquisition [20]. However, AMF colonization in baby corn under nonsterilized skeleton soil was very low about 1-5%. These might be a reason for low growth and yield of baby corn in this study.

Treatment	Height of baby corn (cm)					
	36	43	49	56	63	71
	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP
1) No inoculation	15.9	21.0	27.5	34.2	47.2	72.2
2) Inoculated with <i>Glomus</i> sp.2	16.1	23.0	29.5	39.0	57.2	76.0
3) Inoculated with <i>Glomus</i> sp.3	15.5	28.2	36.2	46.0	62.0	91.5
4) Inoculated with <i>Glomus mosseae</i>	15.0	26.5	37.5	52.5	64.7	84.0
5) Recommended commercial fertilizer	13.7	26.0	42.5	57.7	93.5	120
F-test	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
CV(%)	31.9	25.7	24.1	24.4	30.2	27.3

**Table 1** Height of baby corn as affected by different treatments and sampling dates

In a column, means followed by a different letter are significantly different by DMRT<sub>0.05</sub>

 Table 2
 Leaf number of baby corn as affected by different treatments and sampling dates

	Leaf number of baby corn							
Treatment	36	43	49	56	63	71		
	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP	DAP		
1) No inoculation	9.25	9.50	10.0	12.00	14.50	15.25		
2) Inoculated with Glomus sp.2	9.00	9.50	11.25	12.75	15.25	15.75		
3) Inoculated with <i>Glomus</i> sp.3	9.00	9.25	11.25	12.75	15.50	16.50		
4) Inoculated with <i>Glomus mosseae</i>	9.25	10.00	12.25	13.50	15.00	15.75		
5) Recommended commercial fertilizer	8.25	10.75	12.75	14.25	16.75	16.50		
F-test	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns		
CV (%)	7.14	12.3	10.9	10.7	10.0	8.3		

In a column, means followed by a different letter are significantly different by DMRT<sub>0.05</sub>

**Table 3** Baby corn yield (g pot<sup>-1</sup>), fresh weight (g pot<sup>-1</sup>), dry weight (g pot<sup>-1</sup>) and AMF colonization found in baby corn root

		Fresh	Dry	AMF
	Yield	weight	weight	colonization in
Treatment	$(g pot^{-1})$	$(g \text{ pot}^{-1})$	$(g \text{ pot}^{-1})$	baby corn root (%)
1) No inoculation	1.55	58.7 <sup>b</sup>	23.4 <sup>b</sup>	Х
2) Inoculated with <i>Glomus</i> sp.2	1.30	67.5 <sup>b</sup>	30.4 <sup>b</sup>	/
3) Inoculated with <i>Glomus</i> sp.3	2.00	92.5 <sup>b</sup>	46.9 <sup>b</sup>	/
4) Inoculated with Glomus mosseae	1.75	92.5 <sup>b</sup>	41.1 <sup>b</sup>	/
5) Recommended commercial fertilizer	9.00	301.25 <sup>a</sup>	103.9 <sup>a</sup>	Х
F-test	ns	*	*	
CV (%)	41.0	27.8	42.9	

In a column, means followed by a different letter are significantly different by DMRT<sub>0.05</sub>

× no AMF colonization found / AMF colonization found

#### CONCLUSION

AMF, *Glomus* sp. 2, *Glomus mossea* and *Glomus* sp. 3 could colonize and promote growth and yield of baby corn under skeletal soil in natural condition. However, low colonization (1-5%) in baby corn root and less promote growth and yield than using 15-15-15 and 46-0-0 fertilizer. And Baby corn inoculated with *Glomus mossea* showed more growth and yield than others except for applied with 15-15-15 and 46-0-0. The result showed that AMF should not be used as biofertilizer to promote baby corn growth in skeletal soil under natural condition.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Wikipedia. 2012. Baby corn (online) Retrieved August 12<sup>th</sup>, 2011 from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Baby\_corn
- [2] Miller, M. H. 2000. Arbuscular mycorrhizae and the phosphorus nutrition of maize: A review of Guelph studies. Can. J. Plant Sci. 80: 47-52.
- [3] Nabhadalung, N. 2005. Effects of Long-Term Fertilization on Diversity of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Under a Maize Cropping System in Thailand. Ph.D. Thesis (Soils) Kasetsart University.
- [4] Subramanian, K. S., C. Charest, L. M. Dwyer and R. I. Hamilton. 1997. Effect of Arbuscular mycorrhizae on leaf water potential, sugar content, and P content during drought and recovery of maize. Can. J. Bot. 75: 1582-1591.
- [5] Geerat, S. 1998. Effect of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Fertilizer Application on Fruit Production of Strawberry. B.Sc. Specieal problem, Chiang mai Univ., Thailand. 32 p.

- [6] Poonsawat, S. 1988. Identification on Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi of Peanut and Their Effects on the Growth of Peanut in the Greenhouse. Master of Science (Microbiology) Thesis, Kasetsart Univ., Thailand. 107 p.
- [7] Athipunyakom, P. 1987. Species and Multiplication of Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Their Effects on the Growth of Citrus. Master of Science (Microbiology) Thesis, Kasetsart Univ., Thailand. 166 p.
- [8] Kantarose, H. 1987. Development the Technology on production and application of VA-mycorrhizal fungi on legumious plant. Master of Science (Biology education) Thesis, Chiang mai Univ., Thailand. 88 p.
- [9] Sanyanuban, P. 1991. Effects of Mycorrhizae on Germination and Seedling Growth Rate of Native Tree Species. B.Sc. Specieal problem, Chiang mai Univ., Thailand. 34 p.
- [10] Techapinyawat, S., P. Suwanarit and P. Pakkong. 2001. Effects of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza and phosphate fertilizer levels on physiology of growth and development nutrient uptake and productivity of sunflower. Research Report under Kasetsart University support during 1999-2001. 57 p.
- [11] Soil Survey Staff. 1975. Soil Taxonomy-A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil survey. United States Department of Agriculture. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C., 754 p.

- [12] Panichapong, S. 1982. Problem Soils of Thailand; Their Characteristics, Distribution and Utilizsation. Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo.
- [13] Smith, S.E. and V. Gianinnazzi-Pearson. 1988. Physiological interaction between symbionts in vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal plants. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 39: 221-244.
- [14] Marschner, H. 1995. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Academic Press. 889 p.
- [15] Streitwolf-Engel, R., Boller, A.
  Wiemken and I.R. Sanders. 1997. Clonal growth trails of two Prunella species are determined by cooccurring arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi from a calcareous grassland. Journal of Ecology 85: 181-191.
- [16] Harley, J. L. and S. E. Smith. 1983. Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. Academic Press, New York, USA. 483 p.
- [17] Trouvelet, A., J. L.Kough and V. Gianinazzi – Pearson. 1985. *Mesure du*

taux de Mycorrhization VA d' un systeme radiculaire. Recherche de methods d' Estimation ayant une signification fonctionelle, pp.217-221. In V.Gianinazzi-Pearson and S. Gianinazzi (eds.). Physiological and Genetical Aspects of Mycorrhizae. INRA, Paris, France.

- [18] Alloush, G. A. and R. B. Clark. 2001. Maize response to phosphate rock and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in acidic soil. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 32: 231-254.
- [19] Lukiwati, D.R. and R.D.M. Simanunkalit. 2002. Dry matter yield, N and P uptake of soybean with Glomus manihotis and Bradyrhizabium japonicum, pp. 1190 -1 to 1190-8. 17<sup>th</sup> World Congress Soil Sciences, 14-21 August 2002, Thailand. Symposium 17, Paper no. 1190: poster presentation.
- [20] Poulton, J. L., R.T. Koide and A. G. Stephenson. 2001. Effects of mycorrhizal infection, soil phosphorus availability and fruit production on the male function in two cultivars of Lycopersicon esculentum. Plant, Cell and Environment 24: 841-849.